



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,675	11/09/2001	Yugo Watanabe	9683/96	2435
7	590 04/20/2006		EXAMINER	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. Box 10395			LY, NGHI H	
Chicago, IL 6			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2617	
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/045,675	WATANABE, YUC	WATANABE, YUGO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nghi H. Ly	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a revill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this of the candoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ja	anuary 2006.					
•	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		ers, prosecution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	• •				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,6,11,12,15,17-19 and 21-25</u> is/are p	pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) <u>5,6,11,12,15 and 17</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18,19 and 21-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		by the Examiner.	•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)	ه است. با	(DTO 410)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTC)-152)			

Art Unit: 2617

The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 18 and 19 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 18 is an improper dependent claim since claim 18 depends on claims 15 and 17, claim 18 should depend in the alternative on claim 15 or 17 (see MPEP 608.01(n)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 21, 22, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 21 and 23, claim 21 recites "estimating the presence area in a way which is different from step (f)" and claim 23 recites "estimating the presence area in a way which is different from step (a)". Therefore, it is not clear to the examiner what an estimating the presence area in a way which is different from step (f) or (a) is.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,675 Page 3

Art Unit: 2617

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kojima (US 6,272,344) in view of Jones (US 6,363,323).

Regarding claim 25, Kojima teaches a base station forming a base station area where a portable communication terminal wirelessly communicates with the base station (see Abstract), comprising: a storage unit (see column 8, lines 10-25), a receiving unit for receiving, from a relay unit installed in the moving object, an area change notice containing an identification of the moving object (see column 8, lines 26-35), a control unit for determining, whether the moving object is moving on schedule on the basis of the scheduled pass time information, a current time, and reception or absence of the area change notice (see column 8, lines 26-35, the teaching of Kojima inherently teaches applicant's "a control unit"), and a transmitting unit for transmitting (fig.1, see wireless connection between devices), when the control unit determines that the moving object is not moving (see column 8, lines 26-35, see "If the mobile space 9 goes out of the service area 2 and enters the service area 3"), an abnormal running notice containing the identification of the moving object to a control station for managing presence area in which the portable communication terminal is currently located (also see column 8, lines 26-35, see "If the mobile space 9 goes out of the service area 2 and Art Unit: 2617

enters the service area 3" and see column 2, lines 23-34, see "identification number to the mobile space". For more details, see fig.1, mobile space 9).

Kojima does not specifically disclose a storage unit for storing scheduled path time information indicating a time when a moving object is to be located in the base station area, and when the control unit determines that the moving object is not moving on schedule.

Jones teaches a storage unit for storing scheduled path time information indicating a time when a moving object is to be located in the base station area (see column 6, lines 35-37), and when the control unit determines that the moving object is not moving on schedule (see Abstract and column 3, lines 6-32).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Jones into the system of Kojima in order to overcome many inadequacies and deficiencies of the prior art (see Jones, column 2, lines 65-67).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 5, 6, 11, 12, 15, 17 allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 5, 6, 11, 12, 15 and 17 are allowable over the prior art of record for the reasons as stated in the Office action dated 07/25/2005 (pages 8-9).

Claims 21-24 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,675 Page 5

Art Unit: 2617

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18, 19 and 21-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nghi H. Ly whose telephone number is (571) 272-7911. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am-5:30 pm Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,675 Page 6

Art Unit: 2617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nghi H. Ly

CHARLES APPIAH
PRIMARY EXAMINER